

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,400	12/22/2003	Dhiraj A. Vattem	4999-103 US	7173
75	7590 11/20/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick H. Higgins			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
Mathews, Collin	ns, Shepherd & McKay			
Suite 306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Thanet Circle			1761	
Princeton, NJ 08540			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/743,400	VATTEM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	eptember 2006.	•			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	on of Claims					
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7,12,13 and 20-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>8-11, 14-19, 26</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).			
-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
			on No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
occurs attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment	• •	,.□	(DTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/743,400 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 1761

The specification contains incomplete prior art citation, which have not been considered. In order for someone to be able to gain access to the information in the Background of the Invention, one would probably require a full citation. It is requested that applicant include a full citation of the references described in the specification.

Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on September 22, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 8-11 and 14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for that disclosed at page 4, lines 16-25, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method that does not include a washing step. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,400

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 8-11, 14-19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurihara (JP 62087062) in view of Roskam (2003/0044488).

Claims 12 and 13 have been withdrawn from consideration because they are not directed to potatoes.

Kurihara discloses a batter coating mix for fried food that includes a combination of soybean flour and cornstarch that is formed into a batter. The ratio of soybean flour to cornstarch appears to fall within the range of the claims (see page 2). The claims appear to differ from Kurihara in the recitation of coating potatoes for frying. Roskam teaches that it is very well known in the art to utilize the potatoes of Roskam to coat the fried food of Kurihara as an obvious western alternative to the common fired foods known in Japan. The concept of blanching potatoes is disclosed in Roskam in paragraph 004 and this blanching would have been an obvious washing treatment of the potatoes. It is appreciated that "controlling acryl amide production" is not mentioned but no unobvious or unexpected difference is seen between the products made by the process of Kurihara and the products made by the process of the claims. It is also appreciated

Application/Control Number: 10/743,400 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

that flour is not mentioned but starch is a major component of flour and starch can be provided in flour like form.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 11-16-06
PRIMARY EXAMINER